

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/902,441 07/09/2001		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		07/09/2001	Filip Amaut	VANM225.001AUS	
20995	7590	01/24/2003			
		NS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				MARCANTONI, PAUL D	
IRVINE, CA	IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		* •		1755	- /
				DATE MAILED: 01/24/2003	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AS:					
	Application No.	. Nicant(s)					
·	09/902,441	ARNAUT, FILIP					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul Marcantoni	1755					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 .	<u>luly 2001</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	1						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	• •	· · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	'						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/902,441

Art Unit: 1755

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-8, drawn to a calcium tartrate composition, classified in class
 423, subclass 583.
- II. Claims 9-11 and 14-16, drawn to a method of making calcium tartrate, classified in class 423, subclass 202.
- III. Claims 12, 13, 17, and 18, drawn to a cementitious/plaster composition, classified in class 106, subclass 713.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, there are many different ways to make calcium tartrate. The most common being from wine production wherein this material is formed naturally from wine/grape fermentation. This is thus a materially different process of making a material that already occurs in nature.

Note: It would appear to be improper to claim calcium tartrate at all because it is a material that occurs in nature and would appear the equivalent of claiming granite, igneous rock, or possibly sodium chloride which are all unpatentable materials for the same reason.

Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediatefinal product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the Application/Control Number: 09/902,441

Art Unit: 1755

intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a food additive and a detergent builder, part of a heat storage material, , pharmacology uses, etc. and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, functions, and effects and are patentably distinct as shown by their mutually exclusive characteristics.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,441

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is (703)-308-1196. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (703) 308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9310 for regular communications and (703)-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

four of the